Brana, Michael

From: Sent:

McKee, Carey K [cmckee@kpmg.com] Tuesday, August 04, 2009 12:16 PM

To:

singleaudits

Subject: Attachments: LA County MTA 6/30/08 Single Audit SCO Letter.pdf; A133-FY07.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Flag Status:

Follow up Flagged

I'm following-up in response to a letter issued by the SCO regarding the 6/30/08 single audit of Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (attached). The letter indicated two exceptions in the audit package where it requested additional information/corrective action. These items were in regards to a schedule of prior year audit findings and the identification of question costs for one of the findings. The additional information requested is indicated below:

- 1. There were no findings included in the 6/30/07 audit report, therefore there was no schedule of prior audit findings required per OMB circular A-133. I've attached a copy of the 6/30/07 report for your reference.
- 2. The finding 2008-06 identified some differences in the supporting documentation for amounts reported for its DBE vendors. Since LACMTA is not reimbursed based on these amounts reported in the DBE report, any over/under reporting of amounts should not have a direct impact the amount of funds that are reimbursed by DOT and there would not be any direct question costs associated. However, since the LACMTA had some specified goals to achieve for its DBE participation, there might be some sort of monetary sanction assessed by DOT for not achieving these goals, however, if these potential sanctions were assessed any monetary impact would not be quantifiable by the auditor. We therefore indicated the questioned costs as "undetermined" to leave the door open for the DOT. It was not meant to indicate that any test work was not completed. The use of this term had been acceptable term for our previous reports issued directly to the Federal funding agency, but if its use is causing any confusion we can reassess its use for future reports.

This specific audit very recently underwent a quality control review from the DOT OIG and the report was deemed acceptable to DOT as the Cognizant Agency. Please let me know if you have any additional questions or if there is anything else requested to close out this report.

Thanks for your assistance.

<<SCO Letter.pdf>> <<A133-FY07.pdf>>

Carey McKee Senior Manager KPMG LLP

355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2000

Los Angeles, CA 90071-1568

Phone: (213) 817-3269 Fax: (213) 955-8858 E-fax: (213) 652-0828

Revision 00/6/09

·
·

The information in this email is confidential and may be legally privileged.

It is intended solely for the addressee. Access to this email by anyone else is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or any action taken or omitted to be taken in reliance on it, is prohibited and may be unlawful. When addressed to our clients any opinions or advice contained in this email are subject to the terms and conditions expressed in the governing KPMG client engagement letter.

			on Section of the Se
	,		•

Revision

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Reports on Federal, State and Local Awards Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

RECEIVED

By Mike Brana at 8:25 am, Aug 06, 2009

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Index

Pi	age(s)
leport of Independent Auditors on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in ccordance with Government Auditing Standards	1-2
leport of Independent Auditors on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each lajor Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133	3-4
chedule of Expenditures of Federal, State and Local Awards	5-8
otes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal, State and Local Awards	9
chedule of Findings and Questioned Costs1	0-11



PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP 350 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles CA 90071 Telephone (213) 356 6000 Facsimile (813) 637 4444

Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control Over
Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an
Audit of Financial Statements Performed In Accordance With

Government Auditing Standards

Board of Directors Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Los Angeles, California

We have audited the financial statements of the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority ("Metro") as of and for the year ended June 30, 2007, and have issued our report thereon dated November 28, 2007. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered Metro's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Metro's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Metro's internal control over financial reporting.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the entity's financial statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

PRICEV/ATERHOUSE COPERS 10

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Metro's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of Metro's Board of Directors, management, and federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Princevaterhouse Coopers LLP

November 28, 2007



PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP 350 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles CA 90071 Telephone (213) 356 6000 Facsimile (813) 637 4444

Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance with Requirements
Applicable to Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance
In Accordance With OMB Circular A-133

Board of Directors
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
Los Angeles, California

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority ("Metro") with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2007. Metro's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of Metro's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on Metro's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Metro's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of Metro's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, Metro complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2007.

Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of Metro is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered Metro's internal control over compliance with the requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Metro's internal control over compliance.

PRICEV/ATERHOUSE COPERS 10

A control deficiency in an entity's internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to administer a federal program such that there is more than a remote likelihood that noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal, State and Local Awards

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Metro as of and for the year ended June 30, 2007, and have issued our report thereon dated November 28, 2007. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise Metro's basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal, state, and local awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Metro's Board of Directors, management, federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the specified parties.

Princevaterhouse Coopers LLP

February 20, 2008

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Schedule of Expenditures of Federal, State and Local Awards Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

Section of the sectio

					10,1	Total Expended (Returned) Under Federal/State/Local/CAy the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2	Total Expended (Returned) Under Federal/State/Local/CAy For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007	•	
Grant Description	CFDA#	Grant #	Award	Totai	Federal	State	Local	City	
FEDERAL GRANTS									
FTA CAPITAL INVESTMENT GRANTS PROGRAM (20.500)									
FIXED GUIDEWAY PROGRAM FY 99	20.500	CA030511	\$ 23,007,222	S A		• •	\$	69	٠,
PREVENTIVE MAINT	20.500	CA030511	5,789,684		i	•	•		•
FIXED GUIDEWAY PROGRAM FY 00	20.500	CA030538	6,728,772	4	•		•		,
PHEVENTIVE MAINT	20,500	CA030538	5,663,346	•	•	•			
BUS AND BUS HELATED FACILITIES	20.500	CA030539	15,792,723	À.		•	•		
FY01 RAIL MOD	20,500	CA030568	70,763,675	6,078,525	4,862,820	•	1,215,705		,
OPERATING:	20.500	CA030568	6,115,088	•	٠	•	•		,
REG. GHANTEE BUSES AND SHELTERS	20,500	CA030574	2,243,601	,	•	•	•		
SUB. RECIP. GRANTEE - VEH/INTE	20,500	CA030594	5,298,185	3,075,335	2,524,499	•	550,836		
MGL EASTSIDE EXT, FY07 NS INCREMENT	20.500	CA030508	263,133,449	131,304,397	128,964,833	•	2,339,564		
MGL EASTSIDE EXT, FY05 FIXED GUIDEWAY	20.500	CA030661	12,000,000	28,875,000	12,000,000	16,109,786	765,214		
FY02/03 SUBGRANTEE VEHICLES/FAC	20.500	CA030663	1,478,693	167,568	134,054		33,514		
FY04 RAIL MOOD	20.500	CA030683	10,741,999	62	49	•	<u>. </u>		,
PREVENTIVE MAINT	20.500	CA030683	37,266,690	•	•		*.		,
PREVENTIVE MAINT	20.500	CA030683	1,467,442	•	•	•	•		•.
REG. GRANTEE	20.500	CA030694	396,012	•			•		
FY04/05 SEC 5309 ALTERNATE FUEL BUSES	20.500	CA030702	5,827,051	6,346,700	5,267,761		1,078,939		,
SIERRA MADRE CNG FUELING STATION	20.500	CA030707	295,704	•	•		•		
REG SO PAS & SFV EASTWEN PARK&RIDE LOT	20.500	CA030725	639,391	158,596	151,301	•	7,295		
CERRITOS VEHICLE ACQUISITION	20,500	CA030748	1,117,273	1,280,012	1,062,410	•	217,602		
ENHANCEMENTS	20.500	CA030760	242,718	•	•				
METRO GOLD LINE FOOTHILL EXTENSION LRT	20,500	CA030751	4,432,715	1,584,340	1,267,472	•	316,868		
BALDWIN PK PARK STRUCTURE PE & SF BUSES	20.500	CA030776	533,980	•	•	•	•		
METRO ORANGE LINE IRRIG. & LANDSCAPING	20.500	CA04009	1,172,992	1,466,240	1,172,992	•	293,248		
FY06 FG-PM RAIL FAC UPGRADE	20.500	CA050201	14,639,905	4,736,692	3,789,354	•	947,338		,
PREVENTIVE MAINT	20,500	CA050201	18,716,994	4,725,818	3,780,654	•	945,164		
PREVENTIVE MAINT	20.500	CA050212	40,281,000	45,625,432	38,500,346	,]	9,125,086		-
CFDA Sub-Total			555,766,304	235,424,761	201,478,545	16,109,786	17,836,430		.

See accompanying notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal, State and Local Awards.

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal, State and Local Awards (Continued) Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007 Metropolitan Transportation Authority Los Angeles County

					Į.	Total Expended (Returned) Under Federal/State Cost/City the Fiscal Year Ended June 36, 2	Total Expended (Returned) Under Federal/State/Local/City For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007	
Grant Description	RO C	Grant #	Award	Total	Federal	State	Local	ÇÎ Ĉ
FEDERAL GRANTS (Continued)								
FTA FORMULA GRANTS (20,507)								
BUS SERVICE MAINTENANCE & OPERATION	20.507	CA900856	\$ 74,863,760			·		
OPERATING	20.507	CA900856	70,788,272	233,303	186,642	•	46,661	•
COPS	20.507	CABBOBS6	10,744,708	•	٠	•	•	
BUS SERVICE MAINTENANCE & OPERATION	20.507	CA900970	731,065,604	18,526,687	13,237,652	35,914	3,229,724	23,397
OPERATING	20.507	CA900970	245,893,786	•	*	•	•	•
COPS	20.507	CA900970	10,294,865	٠	•	•	٠	•
BUS SERVICE MAINTENANCE & OPERATION	20.507	CA90Y050	32,588,497	4,764	3,811	•	953	
OPERATING	20,507	CA80Y050	59,130,425	٠	٠	•		
COPS	20,507	CA90Y050	9,829,238	.•	•	•	•	
EASTSIDE LIGHT RAIL TRANSIT	20.507	CA90Y056	10,276,000	•	•	•		•
BUS SERVICE MAINTENANCE & OPERATION	20.507	CA90Y074	5,054,000	•		•	•	*
SUBGRANTEE VEHICLES/TRANSIT FAC	20.507	CA90Y114	5,985,469	•	*.	•	•	*
FY 04 FORMULA FUND	20.507	CA90Y197	21,343,872	(214)	(172)	k	(23)	•
OPERATING - CMAO	20.507	CA90Y197	55,800,000	•	•	•	•	,
OPERATING	20.507	CA90Y197	96,286,998	308,014	246,411	•	61,603	•
EXPOSITION LRT TOPR	20,507	CA90Y305	7,400,000	•	•	•	•	•
RAPID BUS	20.507	CA90Y261	28,919,529	4,974,462	2,708,312	•	2,176,150	•
REGIONAL SUBGRANTEE VEHICLES	20.507	CASOY281	673,000	621,258	250,000	•	71,258	•
CAPITAL & OPERATING ASSISTANCE	20.507	CA80Y307	7,895,000		•	•		•
OPERATING - CMAQ	20.507	CA90Y307	29,500,481	2,870,878	2,541,411	•	328,267	•
OPERATING	20.507	CA90Y307	140,805,920	• :	•	•	•	•
ARTIC BUSES AND UFS	20.507	CA90Y360	30,010,000	948,565	209,095	•	739,470	•
HEGNL SVCS OTH & CLEARINGGHSE	20.507	CA90Y380	15,361,000	40,061	•	•	40,051	
OPERATING - CMAC	20.507	CA90Y380	5,700,000	•	•	•	•	•
REG GRANTEE	20.507	CA90Y294	291,000	•	•	•		
BUS ACO/UFS/ORANGE LINE OF ASST/PM	20.507	CA90Y387	82,000,000	36,524,976	31,591,494	•	4,933,482	
OPERATING	20,507	CA90Y387	23,685,060	•	•	•	•	٠
OPERATING - CMAQ	20.507	CA90Y387	4,100,000	2,538,091	2,030,473	•	507,618	3
FY06 AWC 5307 CAPITAL & PM	20.507	CA90Y426	2,861,457	127,191	(2,248)	•	129,439	•
OPERATING	20.507	CA90Y426	129,031,268	914,291	731,433	٠	182,85B	•
OPERATING - CMAQ	20.507	CA90Y441	10,600,000	12,828,251	10,262,601	•	2,565,650	•
FY05 TRANSIT ENHANCEMENTS	20.507	CA90Y454	516,210	12,842	•	•	12,842	•
PREV MAINT, METRO CONNECTION, UFS CIRNGHS	20.507	CA90Y484	1,644,442	890,686	712,549	•	178,137	r
PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE	20.507	CA90Y484	122,442,961	153,051,884	122,441,507	,	30,610,377	•
FY06 GROWING STATE - PM RAIL	20.507	CA90Y510	6,434,758	8,043,448	6,434,758	***************************************	1,608,690	•

See accompanying notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal, State and Local Awards.

35,914

2,069,817,578 241,459,238 193,975,729

CFDA Sub-Total

Metropolitan Transportation Authority Schedule of Expenditures of Federal, State and Local Awards (Continued) Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007 Los Angeles County

Service Control

Grant Description	CFDA#	Grant #	Award	Total	Federal	Total Expanded (Returned) Under Federal/State/Local/City the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2 State Local	Total Expended (Returned) Under Federal/State/Local/City For the Fietal Year Ended June 30, 2007 State	Crty
FEDERAL GRANTS (Continued)								
FTA PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH (20.514) BRT CONSORTIUM	20.514	CA267031	\$ 100,000	\$ 689	689 \$	•	₩	
CFDA Sub-Total			100,000	689	689			
FTA STATE PLANING AND RESEARCH (20.515) EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS DRILL	20.515	CA40X002	50,000			*		
CFDA Sub-Total			20,000	1	•		***************************************	•
FTA REVERSE COMMUTE PROGRAM (20.516) JOB ACCESS LA COUNTY JARO PROJECT A C. NAC DROJECT DIRECUARE EL EXCAB	20,516 20,516 20,516	CA-37-X019 CA-37-X057 CA-37-X060	4,492,300 2,000,000 866,837	589,127 521,899	294,064 260,950	* *	294,063 260,949	
EX COMMON TRUBES INTERESTORY FYOR LOS ANGELES COUNTY JARC	20.516	CA-37-X071	624,016	99,328	99,328	*	E	
CFDA Sub-Total			7,983,153	1,209,354	654,342		555,012	•
FY08 NEW FREEDOM (20.521)	20.521	CAS7X003	260,079	2,638	2,638			in the state of th
CFDA Sub-Total			260,079	2,638	2,638		-	
Total US Department of Transportation			2,633,997,114	478,096,690	396,111,943	16,145,700	65,815,640	23,397
URBAN AREAS SECURITY INITIATIVE (97.008) HOMELAND SECURITY HOMELAND SECURITY HOMELAND SECURITY HOMELAND SECURITY	97.008 97.008 97.008	2004EUT30003 2004GTT4K017 OHS2004-17 2005-GB-T5-002	4,557,600 1,500,000 795,280 3,327,500	1,553,957 1,013,166 182,078 430,802	1,553,957 1,019,166 173,612 428,442		8,466 2,360	
Total US Department of Justice			10,180,360	3,180,003	3,169,177	*	10,826	
Total Federal Grants			2,644,177,494	481,276,683	399,281,120	18,145,700	85,826,466	23,397

See accompanying notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal, State and Local Awards.

Metropolitan Transportation Authority Schedule of Expenditures of Federal, State and Local Awards (Continued) Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007 Los Angeles County

					£	Total Expended (Returned) Under Federal/State/Local/City rthe Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 20	Total Expended (Returned) Under Federal/State/Local/City For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007	:
Grant Description	CFDA#	Grent #	Award	Total	Federal	State	Local	Ž.
STATE GRANTS								
RIDESHARE FUNDING RPL		RPL-6065(070)					, 69	,
RIDESHARE FUNDING RPL-6065		RPL-6065(076)		(18,583)	•	•	(18,583)	•
SAN FERNANDO VALLEY EAST-WEST		TCF07A0034-2		3,731,820	•	•	3,731,820	•
SEV NORTH SOUTH BRT		TCH07A0034-5		251,284	•	251,284	٠	*
RIDESHARE FUNDING APL-6065		PPL-6065(062)		14,062	•	14,062	•	
MIO-CITY BAT TOR		TCH07A0034-1		(69,390)	•	•	(066'380)	•
CORRIDOR STUDY		TCR.07A1007			•	•	•	***
RSTP/CFP 2001 PRESERVATION		STLP-8065(099)		•	•	•	•	٠
TEACAL STATE LA & USC MED		STPLER-6065(089)		٠	•	•	•	•
REG RIDESHARE RPL-6065 (090)		STLP-6065(090)		(19,757)	•	•	(19,757)	•
EXPOSITION LAT TORP		TCH07A0034-6		60,546,461	;•	60,546,461	•	•
SR2 S.FWY TERMINUS		AP2116065		738,269	•	590,615	147,654	
STIP PPM		PPM05-6065(117)		5,860,301	*	5,860,301	•	•
FHWA GRANTS		07A1631		530	*	•	562	•
FWY SERVICE PATROL		FSP06-6065		20,861,960	•	7,957,024	12,904,936	•
MGL EASTSIDE EXTENSION		TCH07A0034-3		6,749,001	1,836,460	,	3,737,012	1,175,529
CALTRAN 07A1774 SUBRANTEE		07A177A		•	:•	•	•	•
CORRIDOR NEW STARTS		74A0229		73,989	•	73,989	•	
CALTRAN S 07A0034-10		07A0034-10-A1		5,888,868		5,888,868	•	•
EXPOSITION METRO LINE CONST		TC7107A0034-8		ś	•	.1	•	,
CALTHANS 74A0248		74A0248		22,644	•	20,380	2,264	•
Total State Grants				104,631,228	1,836,480	81,202,984	20,416,255	1,175,529
Grand Total				\$ 585,907,911	\$ 401,117,580	\$ 97,348,684	\$ 86,242,721	\$ 1,198,926

See accompanying notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal, State and Local Awards.

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal, State and Local Awards Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

1. General

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal, State and Local Awards (the "Schedule") presents the activity of all expenditures of federal award programs of the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority ("Metro"). Metro's reporting entity is defined in Note 1 in Metro's basic financial statements. All federal awards received directly from federal agencies, as well as federal awards passed through other government agencies, are included in the Schedule. The Schedule also includes state and local grants that participate in the federal awards.

2. Basis of Presentation

The Schedule includes the federal grant activity of Metro. The information in this Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. Therefore, some amounts presented in this Schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, Metro's audited financial statements.

3. State and Local Funds Reimbursement

Metro utilizes state and local funds when federal funds are not received in a timely manner. Upon receipt of federal funds, Metro reimburses state and local funds that were utilized for expenditures for federal programs. Reimbursements are shown as credit balances in the Schedule.

4. Federal Financial Assistance

Pursuant to the Single Audit Act and the U.S. Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement, the federal financial assistance is defined as assistance provided by a federal agency, either directly or indirectly, in the form of grants, contracts, cooperative agreements, loans, loan guarantees, property, interest subsidies, insurance or direct appropriations. Accordingly, nonmonetary federal assistance is included in federal financial assistance and, therefore, is reported on the Schedule, if applicable. Federal financial assistance does not include direct federal cash assistance to individuals. Solicited contracts between the state and federal government for which the federal government procures tangible goods or services are not considered to be federal financial assistance.

5. Major Programs

The Single Audit Act and OMB Circular A-133 establish criteria to be used in defining major federal financial assistance programs. Major programs for Metro are those programs selected for testing by the auditor using a risk assessment model, as well as certain minimum expenditure requirements, as outlined in OMB Circular A-133. Programs with similar requirements may be grouped into a cluster for testing purposes.

6. Audits Performed by Other Organizations

There were no audits performed by other organizations of Metro's federal grant program in fiscal year 2007.

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

No financial statement findings were reported.

Section I – Summary of Auditors' Results	
Financial Statements	
Type of auditors' report issued:	Unqualified
Internal control over financial reporting: Material weakness(es) identified? Significant deficiency(ies) identified that are not considered to be material weakness(es)?	YesXNo
Noncompliance material to financial statements note	ed? Yes X No
Federal Awards	
Internal control over major programs: Material weakness(es) identified? Significant deficiency(ies) identified that are not considered to be material weakness(es)?	Yes X None reported
Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major programs:	Unqualified
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 510(a) of Circular A-133?	Yes X No
Identification of major programs	
CFDA Number	Name of Federal Program or Cluster
20.500 20.507 97.008	Federal Transit - Capital Investment Grants Federal Transit - Formula Grants Urban Areas Securities Initiative
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs:	\$ 3,000,000
Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?	X Yes No
Section II – Financial Statement Findings	

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued) Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2007

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

No current year findings were noted.

Section IV - Schedule of Prior Year Findings and Disposition

No prior year findings were reported.